A Checklist for Evaluating Federal ADR Programs: Long Form¹

I. Pre-design Planning and Preparation

A. Determine goals and objectives for the evaluation

- 1. Determine goals and objectives that are sensitive to the needs and interests of those requesting the evaluation and the audience.
- 2. Link goals and objectives of the evaluation closely with the goals and objectives of the program being evaluated.
 - a. What were the original program goals and objectives?
 - b. Is the program, as implemented, consistent with the original design?
- 3. Use performance indicators to help define or select ADR program goals².
 - a. How well is the program working?
 - b. Should changes be made?
 - c. Should the program be continued or expanded?
 - d. How well does ADR work in particular federal contexts?

B. Identify the audience for the evaluation, and how best to meet their needs

- 1. Identify potential audiences.
 - a. Is the program reaching its intended audiences?
 - b. Are all potential audiences available to participate in the evaluation?
- 2. Identify issues that each audience is interested in and wants addressed.
 - a. ADR program officials
 - i. How is the program working, and how might it be improved?
 - ii. What is the Impact on case inventory (backlogs)?
 - iii. What is the effect on long-term relationships among disputants?
 - iv. How well is information about the program being dispersed?

¹ Based on *Evaluating ADR Programs: A Handbook for Federal Agencies*, Administrative Conference of the United States Dispute Systems Design Working Group, March 1995.

² Performance Indicators for ADR Program Evaluation (November 1993), Administrative Conference's Dispute Systems Design Working Group.

- b. Other agency officials
 - i. Budget officers What is the cost? What are the savings?
 - ii. Offices of General Counsel How much time was needed? What is the nature of the outcomes?
 - iii. Inspector General What are the settlement issues? Is long-term compliance promoted?
 - iv. Other Managers How effectively was the program implemented?
- c. Members of Congress
 - i. How does ADR use affect budgets?
 - ii. How are related laws being implemented?
- d. Public
 - i. How efficiently is the agency resolving disputes?
 - ii. Are participants satisfied?
- e. Program users
 - i. How typical was their experience compared to other users?

C. Determine how evaluation results will be used

- 1. To measure program effectiveness (impact, outcome, summative evaluations):
 - a. Is the program meeting its goals?
 - b. Is it having the desired impact?
 - c. Should the program be continued or expanded?
- 2. Program design and administration (process or formative evaluations):
 - a. How is the program operating?
 - b. How can a continuing program be improved?

D. Consider issues of timing and expense

- 1. Determine when a program evaluation should be undertaken.
 - a. Consider these factors:
 - i. Whether the program has been in operation long enough to ensure that there is enough data to examine
 - ii. Whether the program has resolved start up problems

- iii. Pilot whether the evaluation will be completed early enough to be a factor in the decision to continue or expand the program
- iv. Whether there are other deadlines relating to future decision-making which will affect the usefulness of evaluation results
- b. An evaluation measuring a program's *effectiveness* should wait until possible impact is measurable.
- c. An evaluation of a program design can reasonably be done anytime, but it is best if start up problems have been eliminated.
- 2. Determine how much the evaluation will cost.
 - a. Consider these factors:
 - i. Number and complexity of performance indicators
 - ii. Type of design
 - iii. Level of statistical significance required of results
 - iv. Availability of acceptable data for comparison purposes
 - v. Who is selected to carry out the evaluation
 - b. Control costs through careful planning and appropriate adjustments in the design phase.

E. Select an evaluator

- 1. Select an evaluator with certain qualifications.
 - a. Objectivity
 - b. Experience in conducting evaluations
 - c. Technical expertise in data collection and analysis
 - d. Understanding of the organization or context in which the program operates
 - e. Good interpersonal and management skills
- 2. From several possibilities, choose an evaluator that best fits your needs.
 - a. An outside evaluator
 - i. Someone outside the agency
 - ii. Greatest potential for impartiality
 - iii. Relatively expensive

- b. Someone within the agency, but outside the program
 - i. An evaluation capacity within the agency
 - ii. Neutrality at a potentially lower cost
- c. Someone involved with the ADR program
 - i. People involved with program implementation or design
 - ii. Least expensive, best understanding of program context
 - iii. Potential perceptions of a lack of impartiality
- d. Team representing internal and external groups
 - i. Avoids disadvantages of other options
- 3. Create an advisory committee.
 - a. Informal group of stakeholders
 - b. Sounding board on design and implementation issues, helps refine focus, and reviews and comments on methodology
- 4. Use a liaison from within program to work with the evaluator to ensure access to necessary information.

II. Designing the Evaluation

A. Gain a thorough understanding of the ADR program design and operation

- 1. Review documents and interview key program managers and stakeholders.
- 2. Ask questions that will help you to gain an understanding the program.
 - a. What are the program goals and objectives?
 - b. How are goals defined and prioritized?
 - c. Can program goals be measured?
 - d. Have measurable indicators been established?
 - e. Are data already being collected to measure those objectives?
 - f. Who are the program participants?
 - g. How are cases referred to the program?
 - h. What functions and responsibilities are given to participants?
 - i. What constraints are there to program operation?
 - j. What limits are put on the program or participants?
 - k. What funding and staffing resources are available and being used?
 - I. What type of training is provided for staff and participants?
 - m. Are there written policies and procedures for program operation?

- n. What types of program data are available and can they be used?
- o. What additional information is needed?
- p. What is the estimated time and cost of gathering additional information?

B. Translate evaluation goals and objectives into measurable performance indicators

C. Determine appropriate design strategy

- 1. Case studies:
 - a. Focus on single, cohesive groups or cases in which ADR was elected
 - b. More descriptive
 - c. Comparisons can be made to similar situations where ADR was not used.
 - d. Inferential and hold no scientific weight
- 2. Time series designs:
 - a. Collect information about a particular group over periods of time
 - b. No separate comparison group.
- 3. Comparison group designs:
 - a. Divide individual persons or groups into different groups at the beginning of the study
 - i. Experimental group those participating in the program
 - ii. Control group those not participating in the program
 - Useful in determining whether outcomes are the result of ADR or an outside cause
 - c. "True experimental" design
 - random assignment of individuals and cases to experimental or control group
 - ii. best way to ensure outcomes are a result of the program
 - iii. not always possible
 - d. "Quasi-experimental" design
 - i. non-random assignment to groups
 - ii. use naturally occurring groups cases disposed of before the ADR program began (control) compared with cases

³ Refer to *Performance Indicators for ADR Program Evaluation* (November 1993), Administrative Conference's Dispute Systems Design Working Group.

D. Determine data needs and availability of data

- 1. Consider how data will be analyzed to ensure proper data collection.
- 2. Determine what types of data are needed.
 - a. Program Data:
 - i. Design information
 - ii. Program Operation
 - b. Comparison Data:
 - i. The agency without ADR (cost and time)
 - ii. Use a control group
 - c. Information relating to possible other causes:
 - i. Changes in agency policy or regulations
 - ii. Changes in economic environment
 - iii. Particular skill of participating individuals

E. Decide how to collect the data

- 1. Use documents as a source of data.
 - a. Identify types of documents and the data to be obtained from them:
 Plans, Procedures, Case files, Accounting records, Budget,
 documents, Contracts, Data bases, Existing studies, reports,
 and secondary analyses of the ADR program
 - b. Consider whether all records or a sample will be reviewed
 - c. Develop checklists, coding sheets, or standardized forms to help simplify reviews
- 2. Use people as a source of data.
 - a. Identify potential sources:

Agency and program staff, program participants, subject matter experts, and stakeholders

⁴ For examples of surveys and questionnaires for use by federal agencies in ADR program evaluations, refer to the prototype data collection materials prepared by RAND for the Administrative Conference

- b. Decide on a method for obtaining information from people
 - i. Standardized interviews
 - ii. Informal conversational interviews
 - iii. Telephone interviews
 - iv. Focus group interviews
 - v. Participant surveys
 - vi. Mail surveys
- 3. Directly observe the program.

III.Implementing the Design

A. Collect the data

- 1. Select people to survey based on their position or role in the program.
 - a. Key informants with special program or subject knowledge
 - b. All affected people
 - c. Sample must be representative of the larger group
 - i. Randomly selected based on a listing
 - ii. Purposefully selected based on a characteristic or being a representative of a subgroup or a certain viewpoint
- 2. Ensure the collection of good quality data.
 - a. Reliable data: Make sure that repeated uses of the evaluation tool (survey question) yield basically the same data
 - b. Valid data Make sure that the evaluation tool generates results that provide an accurate representation of the program being measure
 - c. Avoid threats to the validity of data
 - Instrumentation effect when the observed effect is due to changes in the questionnaire from one use to the next or when the questionnaire itself affects responses
 - ii Hawthorne effect when the observed effect is due to factors in the experiment itself (awareness of study's importance)

- iii Selection bias when the observed effect is due to differences between the types of individuals in the sample and comparison group
- 3 Be aware of certain things while collecting data.
 - a Confidentiality often required to protect privacy of individuals and increases willingness to cooperate with the evaluation
 - b Paperwork Reduction Act agencies "shall not conduct or sponsor the collection of information" without the approval of OMB
 - c Uniformity all data must be collected in the same way
 - d Pre- testing ensure that questions are clear and will provide the information needed for the evaluation analysis

B0 Analyze and interpret the data

- 1 Choose between simple descriptive methods and more complex statistical methods based on:
 - a Evaluation objectives
 - b Audience expectations
 - c Amount of time to complete report
- 2 If necessary, consult with statisticians or research experts.
- 3 Use statistics software packages to ease the process of recording and analyzing data, especially when extensive surveying of participants is necessary.
- 4 Consider some factors before selecting analytical techniques.
 - a Will the audience understand sophisticated analytical techniques?
 - b What margin of error will the audience be comfortable with?
 - c Is a generalization from a sample to a population desirable?
 - d Are the evaluators attempting to show a causal relationship between the program and measured results?

III Writing and Distributing the Report

A0Determine best method for communicating results

1 Briefings and presentations:

- a Allow quick and selective communication of important information
- b Avoid bias in selecting material to present
- c Include some discussion of methodology and cautions about limits and appropriate use of data
- d Provide for interaction or feedback from audience to allow identification of issues and potential problems.

2 Written reports:

- a Provide more detail on methodology and results
- b Often required by legislation or executive decision
- c Ensures that there is one "official" source of information on evaluation results and methodology

B0 Decide what kind of information needs to be communicated

- 1 Goals and objectives of the evaluation
- 2 Description of the program and how it works
- 3 Description of evaluator's methodology
- 4 Presentation of evaluation findings
- 5 Discussion of program strengths and weaknesses
- 6 Implications for program administration
- 7 Recommendations

C0 Enhance the effectiveness of your presentation

- 1 Involve potential users as early as possible in determining presentation format and style.
- 2 Tailor presentation method, format, and style to audience needs.
- 3 Be clear and accurate.
- 4 Be honest and direct.
- 5 Simplify and reduce complex data to an understandable form and use graphic illustrations where appropriate.
- 6 Provide an executive summary or abstract.
- 7 Make survey instruments and other data collection tools available.
- 8 Note the limitations on the interpretation and use of evaluation data.
- 9 Expect the need for follow-up.
- 10 Be flexible and responsive.

D0 Disseminating of evaluation results

1 Decide who will be responsible for dissemination of results - this should be thought about early on and again when results become

available, may be decided by contract or agreement, or discussed informally by evaluators and decision-makers

- a Evaluator
- b Program officials
- c Evaluation requestor
- d Cooperatively
- 2 Decide when to release evaluation results may be defined by contract or agreement or discussed by evaluators and decisionmakers
 - a Publicity select slower news days
 - b Coordinate release with other agency activities
 - c Releasing preliminary data before all data is collected is risky
- 3 Decide how widely results will be disseminated cost, convenience and interest level will determine whether dissemination is wide or narrow
- 4 Decide how results will initially be disclosed Memorandum, press release, press conference; determined by those who have the authority to make the disclosure